

ILIT CREATION/MAINTENANCE

(Still Crummey After All These Years)

Great Plains Federal Tax Institute

December 2-3, 2009

Donald O. Jansen, J.D., LL.M.
Senior Tax Counsel
The University of Texas System
(512-499-4493)
www.donaldjansen.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. SCOPE OF ARTICLE AND INTRODUCTION	1
II. ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF AN IRREVOCABLE LIFE INSURANCE TRUST.....	1
A. ADVANTAGES	1
1. Estate Tax Exclusion of Insurance Proceeds	1
2. Gift and GST Tax Leverage	2
3. Proceeds to Pay Taxes, Debts and Administration Expenses.....	2
4. Generation-Skipping Transfer Tax Avoidance.....	2
5. Creditor and Divorce Protection.....	2
6. Flexibility.....	2
B. DISADVANTAGES.....	2
1. Irrevocability.....	2
2. Tax Complexities.....	3
3. Administrative Difficulties	3
III. INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES — THE GRANTOR TRUST RULES	3
A. UNFUNDDED TRUSTS	3
B. FUNDED TRUSTS	3
1. Reasons for Funding	3
2. Different Marginal Tax Brackets.....	3
3. No Indirect Gifts of Income Tax	3
C. REASONS FOR MAKING AN IRREVOCABLE LIFE INSURANCE TRUST DEFECTIVE FOR INCOME TAX PURPOSES (GRANTOR TRUST)	4
1. Protect Crummey Powerholders	4
2. Avoid Transfer for Value.....	4
D. DON'T MAKE TRUST DEFECTIVE WITH THESE GRANTOR TRUST POWERS	5
1. Reversionary Interest	5
2. Control of Beneficial Enjoyment.....	5
3. Certain Administrative Powers.....	5
4. Power to Revoke.....	5
5. Retention of Income	5
E. GRANTOR TRUST POWERS WHICH MIGHT NOT CAUSE ESTATE TAX PROBLEMS	5
1. Premium Payment on Insurance on Life of Grantor or Grantor's Spouse.....	5
2. Grantor's Spouse is Trust Beneficiary.....	6
3. Non-Adverse Person Ability to Add Beneficiaries	6
4. Power to Substitute Property of Equivalent Value	6
5. Power to Borrow Without Adequate Security.....	8
F. ACCIDENTAL FUNDING	8
G. CRUMMEY POWERS — DEFECTIVE TRUSTS.....	8
1. Reason for the Power.....	8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
2. Crummey Withdrawal Powers Before Lapse	9
3. Lapsed Crummey Powers	9
4. Different Result if the Grantor Is the “Owner” — Defective Trusts.....	11
5. Pertinent Articles	12
IV. GIFT TAX CONSEQUENCES.....	12
A. ADVANTAGE OF LEVERAGE.....	12
B. VALUE OF LIFE INSURANCE POLICY GIFTS.....	12
1. Value of Brand New Cash Value Policy — initial premium payment.....	12
2. Existing Single Premium or Paid Up Policy — amount insurer would charge for the same policy on the life of a person of the age of the insured at date of gift.....	12
3. Existing Cash Value Policy — replacement cost will normally be the interpolated terminal reserve at the date of gift plus the proportionate part of the gross premium paid before the date of gift which covers the period extending beyond that date.....	12
4. Existing Term Policy — the portion of the last premium which covers the period beyond the date of gift.....	12
5. Group Term Insurance — the value of the initial gift would also be the unused premium paid for the period beyond the date of gift.....	13
6. Split Dollar Insurance Policy.....	13
a. Grandfathered Arrangements.....	13
b. Economic Benefit Regime and Loan Regime Arrangements.....	13
7. Special Circumstances	13
8. Potential Impact of New Regulations and Revenue Procedure 2005-25.....	14
C. FUTURE PREMIUM PAYMENTS.....	14
1. Continued Premium Payments Are Gifts	14
2. Future Group Term Premium Gifts	14
3. Future Split Dollar Premium Gifts	14
a. Grandfathered Arrangements.....	14
b. Split DollarEconomic Benefit Regime	15
c. Split Dollar Loan Regime	16
D. GIFT TAX ANNUAL EXCLUSION.....	16
1. Date of Gift by Check.....	16
2. Present Interest v. Future Interest Gifts	17
3. Gifts to Typical Life Insurance and Other Complex Trusts are Future Interests	17
4. Exception: Crummey Withdrawal Powers	17
E. CRUMMEY WITHDRAWAL POWERS	17
1. Crummey Power Can Create Present Interest Gift.....	17
2. IRS Requirement of Notice to Beneficiary of Withdrawal Power and of Gifts	17

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page	
a.	Notice of Power	17
b.	Notice of Gift.....	18
c.	Is Notice Necessary?.....	18
d.	How Long Before the Lapse of the Crummey Powers Should the Notice be Given?	18
e.	Notice Can't Be Waived.....	18
f.	Is One Notice Enough?.....	19
3.	IRS Requirement of Substantial Future Economic Benefit--The Cristofani Case.....	19
a.	The IRS Position Pre-Cristofani	19
b.	The Cristofani Case	19
c.	IRS's Initial Reaction to Cristofani	20
d.	IRS's More Aggressive Prearranged Understanding Response to Cristofani	20
	(1) New Action on Decision.....	20
	(2) New Acquiescence in Result	21
	(3) TAM 9628004	21
	(4) TAM 9731004	22
	(5) Estate of Kohlsaat v. Commissioner, 73 T.C.M. 2732 (1997).....	22
	(6) Estate of Holland v. Commissioner, 73 T.C.M. 3236 (1997).....	23
e.	Bottom Line	23
4.	Gift Tax Consequences for Powerholder — Should the Withdrawal Power for Each Beneficiary Be Limited By the "5 and 5" Exception?	24
a.	The Gift Tax Annual Exclusion is \$13,000 Per Donee	24
b.	Withdrawal Power Is General Power of Appointment	25
c.	The 5 and 5 Exception	25
d.	Only One 5 and 5 Exception Each Year	25
e.	\$13,000 versus \$5,000 Dilemma	25
	(1) Special Power Solution.....	26
	(2) General Power Solution.....	26
	(3) Hanging Power Solution.....	26
5.	Is the Annual Exclusion Available if There are Multiple Crummey Powers for Beneficiaries of a Single Trust?	27
6.	Is the Exclusion Available if the Only Asset in an Irrevocable Life Insurance Trust is the Policy?	27
a.	Solution: Funnel the Premium Payments Through the Trust	28
b.	Solution: Power to Withdraw Insurance Policy.....	28
c.	Solution: Cash Side Fund or Liquid Seed.....	28
7.	Miscellaneous Crummey Power Considerations	28
a.	"Revocable" Crummey Powers	28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> b. Imputed Premium Gifts 28 c. Avoid Intervening Trustee Powers 28 d. Amendable Crummey Powers 28 e. Avoid Reciprocal Crummey Powers 29 8. IRS No Ruling Attitude 29 	28
V. ESTATE TAX CONSEQUENCES.....	29
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. THE THREE YEAR RULE AND SECTION 2035..... 29 1. Statutory Language 29 2. Insured Transferred Existing Policy, Continues to Pay the Premiums and Dies Within Three Years of Transfer 30 3. Insured Transfers Policy, Trustee Pays the Premium and Insured Dies Within Three Years of Transfer 30 4. Insured Transfers Existing Policy, Continues to Pay Premiums, But Dies More Than Three Years After the Transfer..... 31 5. Trustee Purchases the Policy, the Insured is the Direct or Indirect Source of Premium Payments and Insured Dies Within Three Years 31 a. Pre-ERTA Law 31 b. Post-ERTA Judicial Position 31 c. Post-ERTA IRS Position 31 6. Avoid the Transfer Problem for an Existing Policy Owned By the Insured By Bona Fide Sale of the Policy..... 32 	29
B. LIFE INSURANCE TRUSTS AND SECTION 2042.....	33
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Receivable By the Executor..... 33 2. Incidents of Ownership..... 33 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Regulation Definition 33 b. Settlement Options and Beneficiary Change Veto 33 c. Is the Contractual Right of the Insured to Purchase the Policy From a Third Party Owner an Incident of Ownership? 34 d. Divorce of Spouse-Beneficiary..... 34 3. Incidents of Ownership by Insured as Trustee 34 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. IRS Position 34 b. Most Courts Agree..... 35 c. Bottom Line 35 4. Incidents of Ownership and Insured's Power to Remove Trustee 35 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Grantor Insured Can Remove and Replace with Someone Else - in Gross Estate 35 b. Does Rev. Rul. 95-58 Override Trustee Incidents of Ownership? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1) Rev. Rul. 79-353 Criticized 36 (2) Rev. Rul. 95-58 Revokes Rev. Rul. 79-353 36 (3) Impact Upon Trustee Incident of Ownership 37 	33

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

		Page
	(4) Bottom Line	37
5.	Incidents of Ownership Held by Controlled Corporation.....	37
	a. Controlled Corporation Incidents of Ownership Rule	37
	b. Grandfathered Split Dollar Arrangements.....	38
	(1) Applicable to Some Split Dollar Policies	38
	(2) Applicable to All Split Dollar Policies?	38
	c. Loan Regime and Economic Benefit Regime Split Dollar Arrangements.....	39
	(1) Loan Regime Split Dollar Arrangement.....	39
	(2) Economic Benefit Regime Split Dollar Arrangement	40
6.	Incidents of Ownership Held By a Partnership — Aggregate or Entity Theories.....	40
	a. Aggregate Theory	40
	b. Entity Theory	42
	c. The Partnership, the Irrevocable Life Insurance Trust and Split Dollar Insurance	43
	(1) Grandfathered Split Dollar Arrangements.....	43
	(2) Loan Regime and Economic Benefit Regimes Split Dollar Arrangements	44
C.	CRUMMEY WITHDRAWAL POWERS — BENEFICIARY/DONEE	44
	1. Unlapsed Powers	45
	2. Lapsed Powers	45
	3. The 5 and 5 Exception	45
	4. Only One 5 and 5 Exception Each Year.....	45
	5. \$13,000 v. \$5,000 Dilemma	45
VI.	GENERATION-SKIPPING TRANSFER (GST) TAX CONSEQUENCES.....	46
A.	POTENTIAL LEVERAGE OF IRREVOCABLE LIFE INSURANCE TRUST BY USE OF GST EXEMPTION	46
B.	LIFE INSURANCE TRUST PLANNING AND THE GST TAX.....	47
	1. Grandfathered Irrevocable Life Insurance Trusts.....	47
	2. Grandfathered Irrevocable Non-Insurance Trusts	47
	3. Non-Grandfathered Irrevocable Life Insurance Trusts.....	47
C.	RELATION OF THE GST EXEMPTION TO IRREVOCABLE LIFE INSURANCE GIFTS	47
	1. Automatic Allocation and Allocation of Exemption on Timely Filed Return	47
	2. Allocation of Exemption on Untimely Filed Return	47
	a. GST Appreciates.....	48
	b. GST Depreciates	48
	3. Exemption Allocation Needed for Almost all Life Insurance Trusts	49
D.	IMPACT OF CRUMMEY WITHDRAWAL POWERS	49
	1. Who is the Transferor? Grantor or Powerholder	49

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
a.	49
b.	49
c.	49
2.	49
a.	49
b.	50
(1)	50
(2)	50
c.	51
3.	51
a.	51
b.	51
c.	52
d.	52
E.	52
LAPSE OF CRUMMEY POWER POSSESSED BY A GRANDCHILD OR YOUNGER GENERATION	53
1.	53
2.	53
3.	54
4.	54
F.	54
1.	54
2.	55
VII.	55
SOME THOUGHTS ON SELECTING CRUMMEY WITHDRAWAL CLAUSES	55
A.	55
B.	55
C.	55
D.	55